

**PETERBOROUGH CORE STRATEGY – LIST OF RECOMMENDED
CHANGES TO THE VERSION PRESENTED TO CABINET ON 12
OCTOBER 2009**

1. **Page 34 – Paragraph 5.4.8:** Immediately after this paragraph, insert a new paragraph to read: ‘Table 7, at the end of chapter 7, provides the detailed information that lies behind the bars of the trajectory. For future years (2009/10 to 2025/26) it sets out the expected delivery of additional dwellings according to location, and divided between those which are committed and those which are proposed. It therefore provides a basis for detailed monitoring of the delivery of the housing development proposed in this Strategy.’
2. **Page 43 - Policy CS 3:** In the 3rd bullet point, replace ‘SSSI and SAC’ with ‘SSSI, SAC, SPA and Ramsar site’.
3. **Page 43 – Policy CS 3:** Insert a new bullet point, after the 3rd bullet point, to read ‘arrangements to prevent potential contamination of surface and groundwater and soils’.
4. **Page 43 – Policy CS 3:** In the 6th bullet point, replace ‘visual impact on the landscape’ with ‘visual and landscape impacts’.
5. **Page 44 – Policy CS 3:** In the first sentence of the final paragraph, change ‘an’ to ‘a project level’. In the second sentence of the final paragraph, after ‘that’ insert ‘all feasible alternative regional locations have been considered and the selected location is the least damaging for habitats, for species and for the integrity of the Natura 2000 sites, regardless of economic considerations, and that no other feasible alternative exists that would not affect the integrity of the site; and that’
6. **Page 44 – Paragraph 5.8.9:** After the first sentence, insert ‘The policy makes reference to strategic and local transport issues. For strategic issues, the prospective developer will be expected to demonstrate what changes in traffic movements (and associated greenhouse gas emissions) will arise from the development, including such matters as the number, length and volume of journeys likely to be transferred from road to rail, the nature of emissions from construction traffic, journeys generated by employees and servicing of the site once operational. For local issues, there will be a requirement for sustainable transport infrastructure in accordance with policy CS 13, to minimise the potential for car-based journeys to work.’ Turn the sentence beginning ‘The Council is aware’ into a new, separate paragraph.
7. **Page 46 – Policy CS 4:** In the final paragraph, change ‘the development’ to ‘the Council will require the completion of a project level Appropriate Assessment under the Habitats Regulation Assessment process. Such an assessment must clearly demonstrate that the development will have no harm to protected species and habitats, in accordance with the relevant regulations. The development’. In the first bullet point of this paragraph, insert ‘help to’ in front of ‘alleviate’. Amend the second bullet point of this paragraph to read ‘Dependent upon the findings of the EIA, informed by the Appropriate Assessment, a management strategy to ensure that inappropriate access to Orton Pit SAC is controlled and regulated to prevent adverse impacts to sensitive interest features’.
8. **Page 51 – Paragraph 6.2.16:** Delete ‘The results from the SA identified’ and replace with: ‘This policy was not subject to its own separate appraisal at submission stage, being appraised as part of the overall spatial strategy. However, from the earlier (Preferred Options stage) appraisal, it can be concluded that there will be’. Delete the last sentence.

9. **Page 55 – Paragraph 6.3.18:** At the end of this paragraph, add ‘However, it should be noted that this 30% is not a ceiling; a higher proportion may be achievable where there are no abnormal development constraints and there are opportunities for early promotion and planning with developer partners and the Homes and Communities Agency.’
10. **Page 65 – Paragraph 6.6.9:** Immediately after this paragraph, insert a new paragraph to read: ‘**Outcome of Sustainability Appraisal** – The results from the SA conclude that the policy is considered to have a positive impact on overall sustainability in Peterborough. There will be significant positive effects in relation to the following sustainability objectives: minimise non-renewable energy consumption and ‘greenhouse’ emissions; keep water consumption within carrying capacity limits and protect water resources; minimise consumption of non-renewable natural resources; and help deliver sustainable development through driving waste management up the waste hierarchy. No significant negative effects are identified.’
11. **Page 67 – paragraph 6.7.8:** Amend to read: ‘**Outcome of Sustainability Appraisal** – The SA identifies no significant negative effects or outcomes arising from the policy. There will be significant positive effects in relation to the following sustainability objectives: minimise pollution of natural resources; minimise pollution that causes disturbance; minimise non-renewable energy consumption and ‘greenhouse’ emissions; and minimise consumption of non-renewable natural resources.’
12. **Page 70 – Paragraph 6.8.12:** Immediately before this paragraph and its heading, insert a new paragraph to read: ‘Where there is a major development proposal which requires its own (on-site and/or off-site) infrastructure, and the proposal is subject to EIA and/or project level Appropriate Assessment under the Habitats Regulations, the Council will require the developer to consider the likely effects of the development and all of its supporting infrastructure as a whole, so that potential in-combination effects can be fully assessed before any decisions are taken.’
13. **Page 70 – Paragraph 6.8.12:** Immediately after the new paragraph inserted (above), insert another new paragraph to read: ‘**Outcome of Sustainability Appraisal** – The results from the SA conclude that the policy is expected to have mixed sustainability effects. Significant positive effects are expected on access to services and facilities. Positive effects are also expected on diversifying the economy and increasing economic vitality. However, by supporting potential upgrades to transport infrastructure, and thus facilitating travel, this policy may reduce the effectiveness of other policies designed to reduce travel. Appraised in isolation, the policy could have some significant negative effects, but these are generally mitigated by other policies, particularly policies CS 9, CS 10 and CS 13.’
14. **Page 75 – Paragraph 6.10.5:** At the end of this paragraph, add ‘The transport requirements and implications of the development proposed in the Core Strategy have been tested through the Peterborough Transport Model. This has demonstrated that the transport network will be able to cope with the projected volumes of traffic, provided there is appropriate and timely investment in transport infrastructure (as defined in the IDP and emerging LTTS). There are alternative potential solutions and these will be explored and assessed through the LTTS, with identified infrastructure being included in the IDP as it is rolled forward.’
15. **Page 77 – paragraph 6.10.11:** Move this paragraph to after 6.10.12 and amend to read: ‘**Outcome of Sustainability Appraisal** – The SA identifies no significant negative effects or outcomes arising from the policy. There will be significant positive effects in relation to the sustainability objectives of reducing the need/desire to travel and promoting alternatives to road and air based travel.’
16. **Page 77 – Paragraph 6.10.13:** Under the heading ‘Policy and Evidence Sources’ add another bullet point to read ‘Peterborough Core Strategy Traffic Assessment Technical Note, Atkins (September 2009)’.

17. **Page 79 – Policy CS14:** In the second paragraph, replace ‘and Great Haddon’ with ‘, Great Haddon and any other major development areas if they arise’.
18. **Page 79 – Policy CS14:** In the third paragraph, replace ‘Planning Policies’ with ‘Site Allocations’.
19. **Page 81 – Paragraph 6.11.16:** Delete the second sentence and replace with ‘There will be a significant positive effect in relation to the sustainability objective of promoting a more vibrant Peterborough City, and there will be a number of uncertain or significant mixed effects.’
20. **Page 83 – Policy CS15:** In the fourth paragraph, replace ‘150,000’ with ‘80,000’.
21. **Page 84 – Paragraph 6.12.15:** Amend to read: ‘**Outcome of Sustainability Appraisal –** The SA appraises the policy positively overall, but given the substantial scale of development proposed, there are many mixed and several negative scores. Other policies in the Core Strategy are considered to mitigate for identified significant negative effects on flood risk and the consumption of natural resources, but the significant negative effect on the non-renewable energy consumption was not felt to be mitigated, given the substantial initial consumption of energy that will be required to undertake proposed development in the City Centre. Given the regeneration expected from the policy, positive effects have been appraised for objectives to improve employment generation, improve access to services and reduce the need to travel by car, and the overall vibrancy of the City.’
22. **Page 85 – Policy CS 16:** In the second bullet point, change ‘and which does not have a negative impact on biodiversity’ to ‘and which protects or enhances biodiversity.’
23. **Page 85 – Policy CS 16:** In the fourth bullet point, delete ‘, where viable,’
24. **Page 87 – Paragraph 6.13.11:** Amend to read: ‘**Outcome of Sustainability Appraisal –** The SA identifies no significant negative effects or outcomes arising from the policy. There will be significant positive effects in relation to the following sustainability objectives: promote a more vibrant Peterborough City; minimise non-renewable energy consumption and ‘greenhouse’ emissions; help deliver sustainable development through driving waste management up the waste hierarchy; minimise consumption of non-renewable natural resources; and keep water consumption within local carrying capacity limits and protect water resources (taking account of climate change). There are uncertain effects in relation to the following sustainability objectives: protect and enhance landscape and townscape character, retaining local distinctiveness and protecting historic and cultural assets; and reduce vulnerability to flooding, including sea level rise (taking account of climate change).’
25. **Page 88 – Policy CS 17:** In the first sentence, change ‘historic features’ to ‘historic features and their settings’.
26. **Page 89 – Policy CS 17:** Amend so that the sentence beginning ‘All new development must’ becomes the start of a separate paragraph, and there is no paragraph break after ‘high heritage value’.
27. **Page 89 – Paragraph 6.14.12:** Insert a new paragraph after paragraph 6.14.12 to read as follows: ‘The policy refers to non-listed Buildings of Local Importance. The Council is committed to producing and maintaining an organised inventory of such buildings, with selection based on robust criteria. The identification of buildings for the inventory will follow a process of consultation and the inventory will be included in the Peterborough Planning Policies DPD.’
28. **Page 89 – Paragraph 6.14.13:** Amend to read: ‘**Outcome of Sustainability Appraisal -** The SA identifies that the policy will have a significant positive effect on the following sustainability objective: protect and enhance landscape and townscape character, retaining

local distinctiveness and protecting historic and cultural assets. No negative or uncertain effects are identified.'

29. **Pages 91 and 92 – Policy CS 18:** Delete the definition of sustainable transport modes 'walking, cycling and public transport modes including water taxis on the River Nene' from the fourth bullet point of the second paragraph and, instead, insert it into the second bullet point of the first paragraph, after the word 'modes'.
30. **Page 93 – Paragraph 6.15.15:** Amend to read: '**Outcome of Sustainability Appraisal –** The SA identifies that the policy will have significant positive effects in relation to the following sustainability objectives: help everyone access basic service and facilities locally, easily, safely and affordable; promote a more vibrant Peterborough City; and diversify the economy and increase economic vitality to aid regeneration (including reducing vulnerability and harnessing opportunities arising from the global economy and climate change). No significant negative effects are identified. Uncertain/neutral effects are identified in relation to the following sustainability objectives: protect and enhance landscape and townscape character; and minimise non-renewable energy consumption and 'greenhouse' emissions.'
31. **Page 94 – Paragraph 6.16.1:** At the end of the paragraph, add 'In addition to its functions as places for recreation and the protection of biodiversity, green infrastructure has a role to play in:
 - water management
 - sustainable transport corridors
 - community food growing
 - the protection of environmental heritage
 - forestry or biomass production'
32. **Page 96 – Paragraph 6.16.14:** Amend to read: '**Outcome of Sustainability Appraisal -** The SA identifies no significant negative effects or outcomes arising from this policy. There will be significant positive effects in relation to the following sustainability objectives: protect and enhance biodiversity and geo-diversity (taking account of climate change); reduce vulnerability to flooding, including sea level rise (taking account of climate change); and protect and enhance landscape and townscape character, retaining local distinctiveness and protecting historic and cultural assets.'
33. **Page 100 – Policy CS 21:** In the second paragraph, change 'and Ramsar Sites' to 'Ramsar Sites and Sites of Special Scientific Interest'. Delete 'SSSI,' from the third paragraph.
34. **Page 101 – Paragraph 6.18.10:** After this paragraph, insert a new paragraph to read: 'The Habitats Regulations Assessment for the Core Strategy identifies a potential issue of damage to the habitat of the Orton Pit SAC arising from changes in air quality, as a result of the cumulative effect of development in Peterborough on local traffic volumes. The situation (particularly with respect to nitrogen deposition) is not clear and will depend on a wide variety of factors including the volume of traffic, speed of traffic and improvements in vehicle technology over the time horizon of the Core Strategy. The City Council, in conjunction with Natural England if appropriate, will aim to monitor levels of air pollution in and around Orton Pit SAC at regular intervals, with a view to implementing appropriate traffic management measures if there is evidence that there are changes in air quality which are likely to have a significant effect on the integrity of the site.'
35. **Page 102 – Paragraph 6.18.12:** Amend to read: '**Outcome of Sustainability Appraisal -** The SA identifies no significant positive or negative effects or outcomes arising from this policy. The protection offered is strong but there is nevertheless potential for adverse effects on Peterborough's valued biodiversity because of the large scale of development which is required by the East of England Plan and the fact that such development will often be in close proximity to high value biodiversity sites. As a result, the SA identifies a number of sustainability objectives where the effects are mixed or uncertain.'

36. **Page 103 - Policy CS 22:** In the first paragraph, put a * beside '(2008)' and '(2009)', and add a note at the end of the paragraph to read '* Or any equivalent subsequent assessment'.
37. **Page 104 - Policy CS 22:** In the penultimate paragraph, after the first sentence, insert: 'SUDS will be expected for all developments where run off or flash floods may threaten the integrity of any international or European site of nature conservation importance. Where such a threat exists and SUDS are not feasible, development will not be permitted.' In the second sentence, replace 'these systems' with 'SUDS'.
38. **Page 105 - Paragraph 6.19.17:** Amend to read: '**Outcome of Sustainability Appraisal** - The SA identifies no significant positive or negative effects or outcomes arising from this policy. Given the considerable existing flood risk throughout Peterborough and the expected expansion of flood zones with the effects of climate change, coupled with the large scale of development which is required by the East of England Plan, there are likely to be uncertain or mixed effects for the sustainability objectives of helping make suitable housing available and affordable for everyone, and reducing vulnerability to flooding, including sea level rise.'
39. **Page 108 – Paragraph 7.0.10:** Immediately after this paragraph, insert a new paragraph to read: 'At the end of this chapter, Table 7 provides details of the expected delivery of additional dwellings for each of the years to 2025/26 according to location, and divided between those which are committed (at 1 April 2009) and those which are proposed. It forms the basis for the housing trajectory illustrated in Figure 2 (section 5.4). Net housing completions will be monitored annually for each of the location categories contained in the table, so that the Council can assess (and report via the AMR) the extent to which the spatial strategy for residential development is being achieved. This will help the Council and its partners to identify when some intervention or other management action is necessary to ensure successful implementation of the strategy.'
40. **Page 130 - Implementation and Monitoring Chapter:** Under the 'Indicators' column insert 'Air quality in and around Orton Pit SAC'. Under the 'Targets and dates' column insert 'No reduction in quality to the extent that there is a significant effect on the integrity of the site'.
41. **Page 135 – Appendix A:** In the right hand column, inset 'CF5' alongside policy CS 2.
42. **Page 135 – Appendix A:** In the right hand column, insert 'R2, R3' after 'R1' alongside policy CS 14.
43. **Page 135 - Appendix A:** In the right hand column, delete 'LT3, LNE8' from alongside policy CS 19.

This page is intentionally left blank